

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/820,197	KADOI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Nicholas C. Pachol	2625	

All Participants:

(1) Nicholas C. Pachol.

(2) Frank Cire.

Date of Interview: 10 November 2008

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Claim 12

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Claim 12 had depended on canceled claim 10. Applicant stated that claim 12 should depend on claim 11. Claim 12 has been amended accordingly.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Nicholas C Pachol/
Examiner, Art Unit 2625

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 3:30

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)